

P.
G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

CB 249-19-1

1920.

File No. 24

Serial Nos. 4

(CB.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1A, 2 and 3.

Part B for December 1920.

SUBJECT.

Conveyance of cars of the Members of Council, to Mysore at Govt. expense during the Dasara & the Birthday Festivities.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(CB)

NOTES.

File No. 249-17.

FILE HEADING.

Permission to the Members of Council, to take their cars at Govt. expense, to Mysore during the Dasara and the Birthday Festivities

From

No.		Dated		Received	
Diary No.		Enclos.		Spare Copies.	
				Serial No.	

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

CB 249-19-1A

CB 509

A. R. BANERJI, ESQ., C.I.E., I.C.S.,
MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

MEMORANDUM.

No. 31

Dated 12th November 1920.

Secretariat.

Red back (Beal)
9/12/20

Subject: Audit Objection on the
T. A. Bill for October 1920.

Forwarded with compliments to the
Chief Secretary to Government. *for*
of the check if any

The journey was done by road.

T.A. was claimed for the cheapest route
and no railway receipt is necessary
under the circumstances.

69
12-11

To

The Chief Secretary with compli-
ments.

Serial
No.Subt.

The member has travelled by road on the days noted in the bill and claimed allowances as if he had travelled by railway.

If he had travelled by railway & claimed allowances according to the rates prescribed therefor his allowances would have been as under:-

(i) Ry fare for member	$4 \times 4 \times 8-1-0$	$= 129-0-0$
(ii) 1 st class fares for personal	$16 \times 1-9-0$	$= 25-0-0$
servants each		
(iii) Conveyance of motor car for journeys on 9 th & 10 th Oct 20		48-6-0
(iv) Luggage (10.9.20)		extra
		<u>202-6-0</u>
		+ extra

If he had claimed mileage for the journey he would have drawn not less than $86 \times 4 \times \frac{1}{2} Rs$ or Rs 172/-

But the actual amount claimed is as noted below:-

(i) Ry fare for member	—	129-0-0
(ii) Conveyance of motor	—	48-6-0
(iii) Chauffeur's fare under Art 1000 CR	—	3-2-0
		<u>180-8-0</u>

The Comptroller has objected to payment of Rs 48-6-0 deferred passing item (ii) viz Rs 48-6-0 pending production of Railway receipts therefor.

CB 509

Serial
No.

Attention is ^{also} drawn to the Comptroller's remark in the objection memo⁶ on the assumption that G.O. order No. 1838-40 of 27.5.1920 (placing ^{CB.249-19-1} a carriage & pair for members' use at Mysore during the Birthday & Dasara Sessions) does not apply to him⁷

No railway receipt can under the circumstances be produced in support of the claim.

F.L. Secy may be consulted whether under circumstances the claim for conveyance of motor for Rs. 48-6-0 objected to by the Comptroller may be pressed, &

MM mm/
17/11/1920

To F.L. Secy with Comptroller's for favour of remarks.

CH
23/11/20

The cost of taking the Car by rail is admissible tho' it was actually sent by road — Please see note under art 632 (b) M.S.R. The Comptroller will, I think, pass the claim if this is pointed out.

If a Carriage and pair was placed at the disposal of the officer on the occasion I do not think the charge of taking his own conveyance can be allowed in addition.

mmll
24/11/20

Serial
No.

2

*From the Comptroller..**Letter no. 254/T.A dated 19.11.20.*

Refers to G.O. dated 27th May 1920, in connection with the claim of the Second Member of Council, for Rs 24-3-0 being the charges of Conveying his car from B'lore to Mysore and requests orders whether such charges be passed as before, and if so which previous orders are to be regarded as superseded by the order quoted above.

Serial
No.

I do not think that the go. of 27th May 1920 under which a govt. carriage is placed at the disposal of members of Council at Mysore during Dasara & Birthday Season was meant to interfere with the ordinary privilege of taking at govt. cost a car for their use.

This may perhaps be made clear.

Dewan)

I agree.

26.11.20

Draft-

By Mr. [unclear]

A draft letter ~~sent~~ order is sent.

9 AM Wm
30/11/1920

Issue.

By [unclear]
31/12

* A similar case relating to 2nd number is linked.
(D 554)

H 7003
24/11

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

CB File No. 249 of 1919.

Serial
No.The reference file on the Subject has not
been sent.Draft & fair communicating the
now submitted.

Und

Please get the main file
encl

13.7.20

be encl. Branch).

Done
encl

15/12/20

18/6/12

To (encl)
No 3895
8/16/12The Main file CB
249-19 is put up
in a sealed cover.Notes issued
to Mr. N. S.

Encl.

Recd 1st 11/11

Second Members Office.

Bangalore. 16th Nov 20

Dear Sir. note put up 16/11

I am desirous to return herewith the objection

The objection Slip - No. 749 of the 8th instant. regarding travelling allowance bill of Mr. P. Raghavendra Rao. for the month of October last.

Shipped back 8/11

I be in debt to your kind

Mysore Railway

No 62.

Bangalore City
8.10.20,

Received from Mr. P. Raghavendra
Rupus Twenty four annas from
Rs 24/3/- only being the railway
freight on a motor car under
Head No. 62 of 8.10.20 Ex
Bangalore City to Mysore.

True copy.

J. Raghavendra
Clerk
2/11/20

CB 249-19-2.

CB 884

Please address all future communications
to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comm. please refer to
No. 254/TA.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 19th Nov 1920.

20.11

MA No. 2391

T. Satyaraj Chetty, Esq., B.A.,

~~M. N. KRISHNA RAO, Esq., B.A.,~~

*Offg. Comptroller to the Government of Mysore
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

M. N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

F i n a n c i a l Department.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No.1838-40/C.B.249-19-1 dated 27th May 1920, allowing Members of Council the use of a Government carriage and pair during their visits to Mysore for the Dasarah and other festivities, I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs24-3-0 being the charges of conveying a motor car from Bangalore to Mysore was disallowed from the Travelling Allowance Bill of the second Member of Council for the month of October 1920. Previous to the issue of the order, Members of Council were under article 632 (b) Mysore Service Regulations allowed to take their conveyances to Mysore and back during the festivities; but the Government Order of 27th May 1920 being in modification of previous orders it has been construed by this office that the provision of a conveyance in Mysore dispenses with the necessity for taking one from Bangalore and that charges for railing motor cars should not be admitted in audit. The Member however holds a different view and thinks that a motor car should be taken at public expense in the

GEN & REV SEC FROM
22 NOV 1920

Su)

Handwritten notes and signatures on the left margin, including "22-11-20" and "27/11".

the interests of official work-vide his remarks on objection slip (enclosed). As the intention of Government is not clear, I request definite orders whether such charges may be passed as before and if so, which previous orders are to be regarded as superseded by the order now in question.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. Satyanuj Chetty
Comptroller.

MSR/19-11.

A handwritten signature, possibly reading 'J. Satyanuj Chetty', written in ink with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Issued

...

Read:-

G. O. No.Mily.96-8/19-10-1 dated 23rd July 1910 passing orders about the discontinuance of the Govt. carriages to the ~~Members~~ Members of Council.

2. G. O. No.1838-40/C.B.249-19-1 dated the 27th May 1920 directing that in modification of previous orders, Members of Council be allowed the use of a Govt. carriage and pair during their visit to Mysore for the Dasara and Birthday festivities.

3. Letter No.254/T.A. dated the 19th November 1920 from the Comptroller, Mysore Govt. ^{inquiring} ~~requesting~~ whether, under the circumstances explained, charges for conveying a motor car may also be passed as before in the case of Members of Govt under Art.632^(b) of the Mysore Service Regulations.

....

ORDER No. 864/CB 249-19-3.

Dated, 7th December 1920

The Govt. Order of the 27th May '20 read above under which a Govt. carriage is placed at the disposal of Members of Government during Dasara and Birthday festivities ~~does~~ ^{was intended to} not interfere with

2.

the ordinary privilege of taking at
Govt. cost a car for their use. The
Comptroller is requested to pass such
charges accordingly.

CSJ
3/12/20

Chief Secretary to Govt.

T o

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt. *Wm H Secty*

...

Sub

Copies to Members of Council.

Wm
2/12/20